

### Profiting from Rotations

Jim Orson





#### UK competitiveness based on technology Average wheat yields (t/ha)









#### Soil management throughout a revised rotation

	Crop 1	Crop 2	Crop 3	Crop 4	Crop 5	Crop 6	Crop 7
Lime & Organic manure		Limex 70 & Turkey manure		Turkey manure		Limex 70	
Cover crop				Opus/ Bio-Drill 50 mm points		Opus/ Bio-Drill 50 mm points	
Cover crop control				Glyphosate		Glyphosate	
First preparation	Carrier Straw harrow	Opus 50 mm points	Carrier CrossCutter	Carrier CrossCutter	Opus 50 mm points	Carrier Cost Cutter	
Weed control	Glyphosate	Glyphosate					
Second preparation	Opus 50 mm points		Opus 50 mm points	Opus 50 mm points	Opus 50 mm points	Opus 50 mm points	Opus 50 mm points
Drilling	Rapid	Opus/ Bio-drill 50 mm points	Rapid	Compactor /Precision drill	Rapid	Rapid	Rapid
Planted crop	Winter barley	W. oilseed rape	Winter wheat	Sugar beet	W. Wheat or Spring barley	Spring beans	Winter wheat





### Winter wheat, 1995-2016







### Spring barley, 2000-2016







### Winter oilseed rape, 2001-2016







### Sugar beet, 2003-2016







#### **Plant-soil feedbacks**



ł





# Beruti *et al.*, EU Joint Research Council, 2014

The fundamental principle of crop rotation is to exert a control function that prevents particular Arbuscular Micorrhizal Fungi (AMF) from dominating the soil matrix:

- Continuous wheat favours the selection and proliferation of less cooperative and more aggressive fungal symbionts. These are likely to enact similar behaviour to parasitism.
- This can be toned down by 'break crops', such as Brassicae or legumes:
  - Brassicae are non-mycorrhizal crops that act as inhibitors of the dominant AMF species proliferation
  - Legumes are AMF dependent crops that favour the overall propagation of AMF communities





The impact of rotational intensity on the yield of oilseed rape within HGCA project 2922. Data are presented as mean yields from years 3 – 7 (2006 – 2010).







### Impact on vigour

#### 1<sup>st</sup> OSR after wheat



#### 5<sup>th</sup> OSR - Continuous









No Neonicotinoids

No Slug Pellets







# Changes in topsoil total organic carbon (TOC) with total carbon (C) inputs (manures & crop residues)







### **Building fertility: Straw residues**

Morley long-term straw incorporation study

- Grain yields at Morley
  - ranged from 2 (no N) 10 t/ha (highest N).
  - incorporation of 3-7 t/ha crop residue dry matter pa (ca. 2-5 t OM/ha)
- The incorporation has
  - increase in OM by ca.10% (highest N rate)
  - from 1.57% to 1.74% SOM
- Other changes
  - Microbial biomass increased by *ca*.35% (at highest N rate)
  - Potentially mineralisable N increased by *ca*.60%
  - The ability of the soil to supply N from the decomposition of OM
  - a 10% decrease in penetration resistance
  - indicating that higher N rates make the soil easier to cultivate.







#### Influence of green manure and cultivation on microbial populations

Figure 5. Carbon dioxide release from incubated soil over six weeks. Cirencester 2006



Figure 6. Carbon dioxide release from incubated soil over six weeks. Andover 2006



HGCA project 414





### Spring barley following cover crops

Mean data from studies over 5 seasons:

(assumes N at £0.67/kg and barley at £120/t)

	No cover crop	Following cover crop
Yield (t/ha)		
0 kg/ha N	3.64	4.60
150 kg/ha N	5.56	5.92
Margin over N (£/ha)		
0 kg/ha N	437	552
150 kg/ha N	567	7 610

Margin at 150 kg/ha with cover crop gave a mean response over 5 seasons of £43/ha





## New Farming Systems research using cover crops for bio-cultivation (winter wheat, 2015)



	Cover crop (CC)		
	- CC	+ CC	
	£ ha <sup>-1</sup>	£ ha <sup>-1</sup>	
Discoula	744	704	
Plough	/41	/61	
Shallow non-inv	732	779	

Costs based on 2012 spot prices for the year of production: wheat (£120/t), diesel (£0.64/L) and liquid fert (£0.67/kg N).

- Increases in margin over input costs in cover crop comparisons
- £47/ha (comparing shallow tillage ± radish)
- £38/ha (comparing 'plough cover crop' to 'shallow tillage + cover crop').
- Does not include cover crops costs.





#### The impact of cultivation and cover crop on OSR yield (t/ha) 2014





### SIP: Field scale cover crops at Morley



- Recent field strip highlights:
  - Increases in N retention and earthworm biomass
  - Sugar beet shown 11% population increase and GAI from 1.2 to 1.9
  - Yield responses of 7 t/ha
  - Little difference in sugar or amino-N

Soil moisture @ 10cm depth (+ or - cover crop) – in sugar beet





No Cover crop







### A few comments

- Consider the whole rotation:
  - each crop type should not be assessed individually but as a contribution to the whole rotation
  - the rotational plan should also involve a soil management plan cultivation type and depth, organic amendments, cover crops
  - need to reduce reliance on glyphosate?
- Advances in the identification and assessment of soil microbial biomass may result in improved guidance for rotational planning
- Nitrogen and cover crops the role of legacy N?