### syngenta

Can we continue to grow Oil Seed Rape in the UK : Impact of new EFSA Guidance and Politicisation of Science

**Dr Peter Campbell** Syngenta, Jealotts Hill, UK

### EFSA Scientific Opinion on Terrestrial Non-Target Plants

### Current major concerns:

- Spray drift values potentially increase massively
- Additional 35x safety factor to assess potential repro effects
- Existing Buffer zones to increase by x10
- Protection Goal Proposals:
  - Negligible effects on populations at the edge-of field
  - Protect in-crop biodiversity to support food web (i.e. protect in-field weeds!!)
  - No effect on endangered species ???

### How can Herbicides be registerable under Guidance based on this Opinion?







# **EFSA Guidance Document for bees**

Still not adopted by EU MSs (almost 2 years delay)

### VERY Problematic and cannot be complied with

- Honeybee Protection goal impossible to meet
- Over- conservative trigger values and safety factors
- New study types required where no methods are available
- Field study specification impossible to meet

# Insecticides will be unregisterable and many herbicides and fungicides will also fail.

- EU Neonicotinoid restrictions
- Recent EFSA Evaluation of Ethofumesate a herbicide assessed for use on sugarbeet – many outstanding data gaps and risk assessments that could not be finalised!!
- US EPA and Canadian PMRA & Australia APVMA have not followed the EFSA Approach or the EC Neonic restrictions









## EFSA Scientific Opinion on Terrestrial Non-Target Arthropods

### Current major concerns:

- Biodiversity needs to be protected <u>in-field</u>
- Direct and indirect effect from multiple pesticide applications
- **Specific Protection Goal Proposals**
- Infield <u>small</u> effects on NTA poplns abundance & occupancy key functional groups
- Off-field (at 1m from crop!) <u>negligible</u> effects on densities of NTA species, predator and parasitoids, key functional groups & NTA Pollinators
- Recovery potential should be based on species with low recovery potential!!!

How can insecticides be registerable under Guidance based on this Opinion + Bee Guidance??









### **Science Complicated by Politics & Media**

- Academic Community seem to be divided on role of Neonics in bee losses and bee health decline
  - Science reviews can be biased and based on "Selective" use of evidence
  - Hazard versus Risk Lab versus Field Evidence
- Systematic Bias of literature
  - Paper showing no effects of a pesticides not easy to publish
  - How effective is the Journal Peer Review process?
- Politicisation of Science need to show impact !!
  - Media Press Releases of Scientific Publications
  - Bad news more newsworthy
- Proprietary Industry data:
  - Not available to Public Scientists But now industry publishing more!
  - Conflict of interest What about other potential conflicts?
- NGO campaigns



#### The Telegraph



